Prime differential nilalgebra exists.

Gleb Pogudin[1]

Abstract

We construct the monomorphism from the differential algebra $k\{x\}/[x^m]$ to a Grassmann algebra endowed with a structure of differential algebra. Using this monomorphism we prove primality of the $k\{x\}/[x^m]$ and its algebra of differential polynomials, solve one of Ritt problems and give a new proof of integrality of the ideal $[x^m]$.

Key words: differential algebra, algebra of differential polynomials, prime radical.

arXiv:1304.5765v1 [math.RA] 21 Apr 2013

From now on we will always assume that k is a field of characteristic zero.

1. The main construction. The differential ideal $[x^m]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, first appeared in Levi's paper [1]. He used combinatorial properties of $[x^m]$ in the proof of low power theorem. Levi proved a sufficient condition for a monomial in $k\{x\}$ to lie in $[x^m]$. Ritt conjectured ([2]) that a minimal j, such that $x_i^j \in [x^m]$, is given by a formula $(i+1)m - i$. This conjecture was proved for $i \leqslant 2$ by O'Keefe [3]. We will prove this statement for all i (theorem 3).

As mentioned in [4], the Levi's reduction process used in [1] is similar to the reduction with respect to differential Groebner basis.

By $k\{x\}$ we denote a differential polynomial algebra in one indeterminate. More precisely, $k\{x\}$ is a polynomial algebra $k[x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots]$ in a sequence of algebraic independent indeterminates ($x = x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots$) endowed with a derivation (i.e. k-linear operator satisfying the Leibniz law) such that $x_n' = x_{n+1}$. An ideal I is called a differential ideal if $I' \subset I$. Let $k_+\{x\}$ be a subalgebra of differential polynomials with zero constant term.

The main construction is introduced as follows. Let us consider an infinite dimensional vector space V_m with a basis consisting of ξ_i^k and η_i^k ($k = 0, \ldots, m-2$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). By $\Lambda(V_m)$ denote a Grassmann algebra of V_m, and by $\Lambda_0(V_m)$ and $\Lambda_1(V_m)$ denote its even and odd components, respectively. Let us remark that from now on $\Lambda(V_m)$ is assumed not to contain unity. Let us equip $\Lambda(V_m)$ with a derivation using the formulas $(\xi_i^k)' = \xi_{i+1}^k$ and $(\eta_i^k)' = \eta_{i+1}^k$, i.e. the derivation increments the subscript. Obviously, $\Lambda_0(V_m)$ is a differential subalgebra in $\Lambda(V_m)$.

Let us denote the factor algebra $k_+\{x\}/[x^m]$ by D_m and the image of x in D_m by $\bar{x}$. Define the homomorphism of differential algebras $\varphi_m \colon D_m \to \Lambda_0(V_m)$ by the rule $\varphi_m(\bar{x}) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{m-2} \xi_0^k \wedge \eta_0^k$.

Lemma 1. *φ_m is a monomorphism.*

Proof. Let us prove that φ_m is a homomorphism. It is sufficent to prove that $(\varphi_m(\bar{x}))^m = 0$. Indeed, $(\varphi_m(\bar{x}))^m$ is a homogeneous skew-symmetric form of degree $2m$ in $2(m-1)$ variables.

Let us recall that (according to Levi [1]) the monomial $x^{p_0} x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$ ($p_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) in $k_+\{x\}$ is said to be an α_m-monomial if $p_i + p_{i+1} < m$ for each i. We need the following fact ([1], theorem 1.1):

Fact. *Images of α_m-monomials in D_m consitute a basis for linear vector space D_m.*

Thus it remains to check that the kernel of φ_m does not contain any non-trivial linear combination of α_m-monomials. Let us introduce a monomial order. Let us fix two monomials $P = x^{p_0} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$ and $Q = x_0^{q_0} \cdots x_n^{q_n}$ ($p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). Let j be a minimum index such that $p_j \neq q_j$. Then P is said to be larger than Q if $p_j < q_j$ and Q is said to be larger than P otherwise. This order is called *degrevlex* order ([4]).

Let us consider an arbitrary linear combination L of α_m-monomials and its leading term $M = \bar{x}_{k_n} \cdots \bar{x}_{k_0}$, where $k_n \geqslant \cdots \geqslant k_0$. The α_m-property can be reformulated as follows: for each pair i and i' such that $i - i' = m - 1$ holds the inequality $k_i - k_{i'} > 1$. In particular, $k_i \geqslant 2\left[\frac{i}{m-1}\right]$. We are going

---

[1] Moscow State University, e-mail: `pogudin.gleb@gmail.com`.

to find a monomial μ in $\varphi_m(M)$ which does not appear in $\varphi_m(M')$ for any monomial $M' \neq M$ from L. To each $\bar{x}_{k_j}$ assign a monomial $\xi^r_{k_j-q} \wedge \eta^r_q$, where q and r are quotient and remainder for division j by $m-1$, respectively. Let μ be a product of these monomials. Due to the inequality above, $k_j - q \geqslant 0$. Moreover, the α_m-property implies:

$$k_j - q < k_{j+m-1} - q - 1 < k_{j+2m-2} - q - 2 < \ldots \tag{1}$$

for each j. Hence, all basis vector in μ are different and $\mu \neq 0$.

Let us prove that μ does not appear in any monomial $M' = \bar{x}_{k'_m} \cdots \bar{x}_{k'_0} < M$ ($k'_m \geqslant \cdots \geqslant k'_0$). Since $M' < M$, $k'_0 \geqslant k_0$. On the other hand, μ contains $\xi^b_a \wedge \eta^b_c$ from $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_{k'_0})$ for some a, b and c. (1) implies that minimum possible value for $a + c$ is k_0, where $a = k_0$, b equals a remainder for division k_0 by $m-1$ and $c = 0$. Hence, $k_0 = k'_0$ and $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_{k_0})$ and $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_{k'_0})$ make equal contribution to μ. Continuing this line of reasoning, we see that $M = M'$.

Thus, φ_m is injective. ☐

2. The algebra $k_+\{x\}/[x^2]$ is prime.

Theorem 1. *The algebra D_2 is prime.*

Proof. First of all, we claim that all differential subalgebras of a prime differential algebra P are prime. Indeed, let be A and B be differential ideals in a differential subalgebra $P' \subset P$ such that $AB = 0$. Consider ideals (not necessary differential) $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ in P generated by A and B respectively. Obviously, $\bar{A}\bar{B} = 0$. On the other hand, we claim that $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are actually differential ideals. Indeed, let $a \in A$ and $x \in P$, then $(pa)' = p'a + pa'$, where $a, a' \in A$ and $p, p' \in P$. This contradicts with the primality of P.

It remains to check that $\Lambda_0(V_2)$ is prime. Let A and B be such differential ideals that $AB = 0$. Let us fix $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. There exist such monomials m_a and m_b such that $m_a a$ and $m_b b$ are nonzero monomials. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality, that a and b are monomials. There also exists enough large N, that $a^{(N)}$ contains a monomial with derivations of higher order than the highest order of derivation in b. Clearly, $a^{(N)}b \neq 0$. ☐

The above theorem gives us the example mentioned in the title of this paper. Indeed, all elements in D_2 are nilpotent, because φ_2 imbeds D_2 into a Grassmann algebra. The example of associative prime nilalgebra is presented in theorem 1 of [5].

Corollary. *For any $a, b \in D_2$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b^{(k)}a \neq 0$.*

3. The algebra of differential operators over $k_+\{x\}/[x^2]$ is prime.

In paper [6], it was proved that an algebra of differential operators over prime differential algebra with unity is prime.

But D_2 does not contain the unity. By $(D_2)_{id}$ denote D_2 with externally adjoined unity. Let us prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $f(t_1, \ldots t_k)$ be a polynomial in variables t_i ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$) and their derivations with coefficients in $(D_2)_{id}$. Assume that $f(1, \ldots, 1) \neq 0$. Then there exist such $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in D_2$ that $f(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $k = 1$. The general case follows from the case $k = 1$ by simple induction. Moreover, we claim that f can be assumed to be linear in t and its derivations. Indeed, assume that there is no such $t \in D_2$ that $f(t) \neq 0$. Hence $f(t)$ is a polynomial identity in D_2 and it can be linearized (recall that $\operatorname{char} k = 0$).

Thus $f(t)$ is a linear form in t and its derivations with coefficients in $(D_2)_{id}$ and $f(1) \neq 0$. Let represent coefficients of f as α_2-polynomials. By N denote a maximum order of derivation of x in coefficients of f represented in such a way. Let $a = \bar{x}_{N+2}$. Then $f(a)$ is a nontrivial linear combination of α_2-monomials. Hence $f(a) \neq 0$. ☐

By $A[\partial]$ denote an algebra of differential operators over an algebra A.

Theorem 2. *Algebra $D_2[\partial]$ is prime.*

Proof. First of all, let us check that $(D_2)_{id}[\partial]$ is prime. It can be deduced from results of the paper [6], but for the sake of completeness we will provide self-contained proof. Assume the converse, than there are ideals A and B in $(D_2)_{id}[\partial]$ such that $AB = 0$. Any $a \in (D_2)_{id}[\partial]$ is of a form $a = a_n\partial^n + \cdots + a_0$, where $a_i \in (D_2)_{id}$. By $\operatorname{in}(A)$ denote a set of all leading terms of an ideal A. Clearly, it is an ideal in $(D_2)_{id}$. Moreover, since $a\partial - \partial a = a'_n\partial^n + \cdots + a'_0$, it is a differential ideal. Hence $\operatorname{in}(A)\operatorname{in}(B) = 0$, where $\operatorname{in}(A)$ and $\operatorname{in}(B)$ are nonzero differential ideals in $(D_2)_{id}$. This contradict the primality of $(D_2)_{id}$.

Assume that $D_2[\partial]$ is not prime. Hence, there exist $a, b \in D_2[\partial]$ such that $\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle = 0$ (by $\langle x\rangle$ we denote an ideal generated by x), where $a = a_n\partial^n + \cdots + a_0$ and $b = b_m\partial^m + \cdots + b_0$. By the corollary above there exists k such that $(a_n)^{(k)}b_m \neq 0$. Let us consider $f(t) = [(t\partial)^k, a]b$. The leading term of $f(1)$ is $(a_n)^{(k)}b_m \neq 0$. Hence, by the lemma 1, there exists $c \in D_2$ such that $0 \neq [(c\partial)^k, a]b \in \langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle$.

$\square$

Proposition. *All elements of $D_2[\partial]$ are nilpotent.*

Proof. For each differential monomial $M = x_{k_n}\cdots x_{k_0}$ assign weight $w(M) = k_n + \cdots + k_0$. For each polynomial $p \in k\{x\}$ denote by $w(p)$ maximum weight of its terms. Weight of a monomial in D_2 can be defined in the same way. Obviously, minimum weight of a skew-symmetric monomial of degree D is $d(d-1)$, where $d = \left[\frac{D}{2}\right]$, i.e. is bounded from below by quadratic function in D. Let us denote this function by $f(D)$. Hence, if $w(M) < f(n)$ for $M = x_{k_n}\cdots x_{k_0}$, then $\varphi_2(M) = 0$.

Consider an arbitrary $a \in D_2[\partial]$, $a = a_n\partial^n + \cdots + a_0$. Obviously, weights of coefficients of a^N are bounded from above by a linear function in N and degrees of coefficients of a^N are bounded from below by N. Hence, there exists such N, that $a^N = 0$. $\square$

4. Some results about an ideal $[x^m]$

Using lemma 1, one can easily deduce an answer to one of Ritt's problems ([2]).

Theorem 3. *Let $[x^m]$ be a differential ideal in an algebra of differential polynomials $k\{x\}$ generated by x^m and x_i be the i-th derivation of x, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then a minimum j such that $x_i^j \in [x^m]$ equals $(i+1)m - i$.*

Proof. Denote $(i+1)m - i$ by q_i. We claim that $(\varphi_m(\bar{x}_i))^{q_i} = 0$. Indeed, $2(m-1)(i+1) = 2(q_i - 1)$ basis vectors appear in $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_i)^{q_i}$, but its degree is $2q_i$. Since $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_i)^{q_i}$ is a skew-symmetric form, it is equal zero.

It remains to check that $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_i)^{q_i-1} \neq 0$. Recall that $\bar{x}_i = \sum\limits_{0\leqslant l\leqslant m, 0\leqslant k\leqslant i} C_i^k \xi_k^l \wedge \eta_{i-k}^l$. Expanding brackets (without rearranging variables in monomials) in $\varphi_m(\bar{x}_i)^{q_i-1}$ we obtain a polynomial with positive coefficients. It equals $c\prod\limits_{0\leqslant l\leqslant m}\left(\prod\limits_{0\leqslant k\leqslant i}\xi_k^l \wedge \eta_{i-k}^l\right)$, where $c \in k$ is some constant. Clearly, all rearrangings of variables of monomials are even permutations. Indeed, each rearranging can be performed be moving the whole pair of a form $\xi_k^l \wedge \eta_{i-k}^l$. Hence, c is positive and nonzero.

$\square$

Theorem 4. (Integral property of the ideal $[x^m]$) *If $f' \in [x^m]$ where $f \in D_m$, then $f \in [x^m]$.*

This theorem was proved earlier by M.V.Kondratieva. We provide an alternative proof.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that there are no constants[2] in D_m. Let us prove that there are no constants in $\Lambda(V_m)$. Assume the contrary, then let $C \in \Lambda(V_m)$ be a constant, i.e. $C' = 0$. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that C constains ξ_j^0 for some j. Let j be the highest order of derivation of ξ_0^0 in C and $C = \xi_j^0 \wedge a + b$, where b does not contain ξ_j^0. Then $C' = \xi_{j+1}^0 \wedge a + c$, where c does not contain ξ_{j+1}^0. Hence, $C' \neq 0$. This contradiction concludes the proof.

---

[2] i.e. such elements C, that $C' = 0$

$\square$

The author is grateful to A.I.Zobin and Yu.P.Razmyslow for helpful discussions.

References

[1] Levi H., *On the structure of differential polynomials and their theory of ideals,* Trans. AMS, vol.51, 532-568, 1942.

[2] Ritt J.F., *Differential Algebra,* volume XXXIII of Colloquium Publications. New York, American Mathematical Society, 1950.

[3] O'Keefe K.B., *A property of the differential ideal* $[y^p]$, Trans. AMS, vol. 94, 483-497, 1960.

[4] Zobnin A.I., *One-element differential standard bases with respect to inverse lexicographical orderings,* Journal of Mathematical Sciences, December 2009, Volume 163, Issue 5, pp 523-533 .

[5] Andrunakievich V.A., Ryabuhin Yu.A, *Radicals of algebras and structure theory,* Moskva, Nauka, 1979.

[6] M.Ferrero, K.Kishimoto, K.Motose, *On radicals of skew polynomial rings of derivation type,* Journal of London Math. Society, vol. 28, 8-17, 1983.